

CATEX CHECKLIST

CHECKLIST OF EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES & SENSITIVE RESOURCES IN SUPPORT OF A CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CATEX) DETERMINATION FOR A DENALL COMMISSION PROJECT

Program Partner Name	Project Name			
ALEUTIAN PRIBILOF ISLANDS ASSOCIATION, INC	St George Health Center Renovation Project			
Location	Project #	Subproject #		
St. George Island, AK 99580				
Identify Categorical Exclusion				
The proposed project is identified in the Denali Commission list of categorical exclusions in 45 CFR Appendix A to Part 900,				
paragraph(s)				
Project Description (2-3 sentences maximum)				
Renovation and life safety upgrades to the Community of St. George's Health Center. This project is located on St. George Island in Alaska.				
Instructions				

The information you provide below will assist the Denali Commission in making its determination as to whether a Categorical Exclusion (CATEX) is appropriate or further environmental analysis is required for the proposed project. Please place a checkmark in the blank next to the numbered items indicating your response on that issue. A checkmark in the "Yes" block does not automatically preclude the development of the proposed project. It simply means further assessment is needed. Should you have any remarks that may indicate the need to prepare an Environmental Analysis (EA) or an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) attach a brief explanation of the circumstances for further evaluation. Adverse affects to environmentally

an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), attach a brief explanation of the circumstances for further evaluation. Adverse affects to environmentally sensitive resources must be resolved through another environmental process, e.g., coordination or consultation under the Coastal Zone Management Act or National Historic Preservation Act, before being categorically excluded. Attachments are allowed and encouraged.

Extraordinary Circumstances		ination	Basis for determination	
		No		
1. Public Health, Safety or Environment			All renovation is within the 4	
Will the proposed project have a reasonably likelihood of significant impacts on public health, public safety, or the environment?		✓	walls; with the exception of a sewage line replacement.	
2. Controversy on Environmental Grounds			All available resources are	
Will the proposed project have effects on the environment that are likely to be highly controversial or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources?			to be utilized. There will be no hazardous materials used in the Renovation.	
3. Uncertain, Unique or Unknown Risks			This project is a straight forward renovation. no effects	
Il the proposed project have possible effects on the human vironment that are highly uncertain, involve unique or unknown ks, or are scientifically controversial?			of human environment are likely of scientifically controversial.	
4. Precedent for Future Action				
Will the proposed action establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects?		✓	No precedents or waivers or such will result.	
5. Cumulative Impacts			This project will not result in individual or signifigantly cumulative effects.	
Will the proposed project relate to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects?				
6. Scope and Size			No, this project is within or	
Will the proposed project have a greater size and scope than is normal for the category of action?		✓	below normal size and scope	

7. Environmental Conditions			Other than installation of a
Will the proposed project have the potential to degrade already existing poor environmental conditions or to initiate a degrading influence, activity or effect in areas not already significantly modified from their natural condition?		Other than installated new sewer line, no outside work is to performed.	
8. Environmental Justice			This project will not have any
Will the proposed project have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations?		\checkmark	effect on either populations.
Ref: Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations			
9. Indian Sacred Sites			no such sites in the area
Will the proposed project limit access to or ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners or adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites? (EO 13007)		\checkmark	
"Indian tribe" means an Indian or Alaska Native tribe, band, nation, pueblo, village, or community that the Secretary of the Interior acknowledges to exist as an Indian tribe pursuant to Public Law No. 103-454, 108 Stat. 4791, and "Indian" refers to a member of such an Indian tribe. (EO 13007)			
Ref: Executive Order 13007, Indian Sacred Sites			
Sensitive Resources		act ntial	Basis for determination
	Yes	No	
		110	
10. Section 106 Historic Properties	100	110	a historical review was
10. Section 106 Historic Properties Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places?		√	a historical review was completed
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for		√	
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places? Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic		✓	
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places? Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic Properties).		✓ ✓	
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places? Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic Properties). 11. Endangered Species Will the proposed project adversely affect species listed, or proposed to be listed on the Endangered or Threatened Species List, or the		✓	completed Other than installation of a new sewer line, no outside
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places? Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic Properties). 11. Endangered Species Will the proposed project adversely affect species listed, or proposed to be listed on the Endangered or Threatened Species List, or the specific critical habitat? Ref: Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as		✓	Other than installation of a new sewer line, no outside work is to be performed.
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places? Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic Properties). 11. Endangered Species Will the proposed project adversely affect species listed, or proposed to be listed on the Endangered or Threatened Species List, or the specific critical habitat? Ref: Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended. (See 50 CFR part 402).		✓	completed Other than installation of a new sewer line, no outside
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places? Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic Properties). 11. Endangered Species Will the proposed project adversely affect species listed, or proposed to be listed on the Endangered or Threatened Species List, or the specific critical habitat? Ref: Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended. (See 50 CFR part 402). 12. Historic or Cultural Resources Will the proposed action adversely impact the historic and cultural			Other than installation of a new sewer line, no outside work is to be performed.
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places? Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic Properties). 11. Endangered Species Will the proposed project adversely affect species listed, or proposed to be listed on the Endangered or Threatened Species List, or the specific critical habitat? Ref: Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended. (See 50 CFR part 402). 12. Historic or Cultural Resources Will the proposed action adversely impact the historic and cultural environment of the Nation? Ref: Executive Order 11593, Protection and enhancement of the			Other than installation of a new sewer line, no outside work is to be performed.
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places? Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic Properties). 11. Endangered Species Will the proposed project adversely affect species listed, or proposed to be listed on the Endangered or Threatened Species List, or the specific critical habitat? Ref: Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended. (See 50 CFR part 402). 12. Historic or Cultural Resources Will the proposed action adversely impact the historic and cultural environment of the Nation? Ref: Executive Order 11593, Protection and enhancement of the cultural environment.			Other than installation of a new sewer line, no outside work is to be performed. a parks review was completed
Will the proposed project adversely affect properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places? Ref: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.), as amended. (See 36 CFR 800, Protection of Historic Properties). 11. Endangered Species Will the proposed project adversely affect species listed, or proposed to be listed on the Endangered or Threatened Species List, or the specific critical habitat? Ref: Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended. (See 50 CFR part 402). 12. Historic or Cultural Resources Will the proposed action adversely impact the historic and cultural environment of the Nation? Ref: Executive Order 11593, Protection and enhancement of the cultural environment. 13. Park, Recreation or Refuge Lands Will the proposed project have significant adverse direct or indirect			Other than installation of a new sewer line, no outside work is to be performed. a parks review was completed a parks review was completed the building isnt a

Ref: Wilderness Act of 1964 (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), as amended.			
15. Wild and Scenic Rivers			
Is the proposed project a "Water Resources Project" that will impact a wild, scenic or recreational river area and create conditions inconsistent with the character of the river?	✓	NA. project is not a water resource project	
Ref: Wild & Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271 et seq.), as amended.			
16. National Natural Landmarks		Not a contributing factor per	
Will the proposed project impact a National Natural Landmark?		the historic review conducted	
Ref: Historic Sites Act of 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461 et seq.), as amended.		oondaoted	
17. Sole Source Aquifers	 		
If the proposed action would not have adverse effects on this resource, it may be considered that there is no Impact Potential.	\checkmark	According to the EPA website, as of 08/05/04, there are no sole source	
Ref: Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, (42 U.S.C. 201, 300 et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349), as amended. (See 40 CFR part 149).		aquifers in Alaska.	
18. Prime Farmlands			
Will the proposed project convert significant agricultural lands to non-agricultural uses?	✓	There are no such conversions as a result of this project.	
Ref: Farmlands Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.), as amended. (See 7 CFR part 658).			
19. Wetlands		There will be no construction	
Will the proposed project adversely affect wetlands or will there be construction in wetlands, except in conformance with a U.S. Corps of Engineers Section 404 Permit?	✓	in wetlands.	
Ref: Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands			
20. Floodplains		There will be no construction	
Will the proposed project involve construction in a floodplain or impact floodplain development?		or impact to floodplains or development of.	
Ref: Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management			
21. National Monuments		There are no such	
Will proposed project impact a National Monument?	\checkmark	monuments within this scope of project.	
22. Ecologically Significant or Critical Areas		There is no ecologically	
Will the proposed project impact an ecologically significant or critical area?		significant or critical areas within this project.	
23. Other Known Reasons		No, an environmental asses-	
Is an environmental assessment required for other known reasons?		ment is not required for other known reasons.	

Additional Comments
With the exception of an installation of a new sewer line from the building, this entire project is contained within all four walls of the health center. A SHPO review was conducted and as a result of no adverse effects. Also, as a part of that review confirmation by the NPS that the building was not considered a contributing factor.

PREPARED BY				
Date	Typed or Printed Name and Title	Signature		
08/31/20	Jessica Mata Rukovishnikoff, Interim Primary Care Services Regional Director	matas	Rule	
Organization:				
DENALI COMMISSION APPROVING OFFICIAL				
Based upon the categorical exclusion identified above, this completed checklist and attachments, I certify to the best of my knowledge, that the information provided above is complete and correct, and that:				
A categorical exclusion determination is appropriate for this project Yes: No:				
Further environmen	ntal analysis is required		Yes:	No: 🔽
Date	John Whittington	Signature		
10/14/2020	Designated Approving Official	John Whittington	ı	

Additional Notes and Instructions

1. The basis for determination and documentation information must be traceable and establish the factual data to support the response to each question. Types of information to be included in this column are outlined below.

<u>Printed Materials</u>: These are useful sources of detailed information materials such as comprehensive land use plans, zoning maps, city master plans, environmental baseline surveys, environmental assessments, environmental impact statements and studies. Information must be current and must represent accepted methodologies, i.e., not so old that changing conditions make them irrelevant. Citations for the material should include enough information so that an outside reviewer can locate the specific reference, e.g., author, document title, publication date, and page number.

Examples include the Record of Decision, Finding of Suitability to Transfer, Finding of Suitability to Lease, General Services Administration (GSA) Property Suitability Determination Form, Federal Property Information Checklist, Environmental Baseline Surveys, Preliminary Assessment Reports, Environmental Assessments, draft or final Environmental Impact Statements, and City/County master plan or zoning map.

Possible sources of the above documents include as appropriate, GSA, Department of Housing and Urban Development, the property owner, military base environmental office, local governmental organizations, local public library, and City/County planning office.

<u>Personal Contacts</u>: Personal contacts are useful when the individual contacted is an accepted authority on the subject(s), and the interview is documented. Supporting documentation should include the name, organization, and title of the person contacted and the date of the conversation. Examples include EPA officials, EPA hotlines, officials from state or local

planning offices and environmental offices, or an environmental officer of an agency.

<u>Site Visits</u>: A site visit does not usually involve any testing or measurements. A site visit is an important method for initial screening of the issues, but for some of the categories it may be inadequate for final evaluation, Supporting documentation should include date of the site visit, by whom, and the supporting observation.

2. The agency must include pollution prevention considerations in the siting, design, construction, renovation, and operation of the project or facility. The questionnaire items on sedimentation and erosion control measures and storm water control plan are also pollution prevention related.

APIA SGHC Denali Commission CATEX Checklist 10-2020

Final Audit Report 2020-10-23

Created: 2020-10-23

By: Jessica Mata Rukovishnikoff (jmataruko@apiai.org)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAL2RTny3yXNqleAXBSQFVkRRr2JOBK3EM

"APIA SGHC Denali Commission CATEX Checklist 10-2020" His tory

- Document created by Jessica Mata Rukovishnikoff (jmataruko@apiai.org) 2020-10-23 6:17:12 AM GMT- IP address: 64.4.228.21
- Document emailed to John Whittington (jwhittington@denali.gov) for signature 2020-10-23 6:17:34 AM GMT
- Email viewed by John Whittington (jwhittington@denali.gov) 2020-10-23 5:40:42 PM GMT- IP address: 216.152.178.99
- Document e-signed by John Whittington (jwhittington@denali.gov)

 Signature Date: 2020-10-23 5:41:00 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 216.152.178.99
- Agreement completed. 2020-10-23 - 5:41:00 PM GMT